

## REMARKS

Support for the amendments to Claim 13 can be found in original Claim 1. No new matter has been added and entry is respectfully requested.

First, Applicant notes with appreciation the allowance of Claims 15-35 and the indication that Claims 13 and 14 are directed to allowable subject matter. Claim 13 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of Claim 1. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Claim 13 is allowable. Claim 14 depends from Claim 13 and is therefore also allowable.

Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection, which appears on page 4, numbered paragraph 7 of the Official Action, is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1-12 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. With respect to the remaining Claims (*i.e.*, Claims 13 and 14), the Official Action has objected to the phrase "*unetched semiconductor device*" which appears in Claim 1. Claims 13 and 14 were apparently rejected as being dependent from Claim 1. Claim 13, however, has been rewritten in independent form. Further, Claim 13 as amended recites "providing a semiconductor device" rather than "providing an unetched semiconductor device". This language is clearly supported in the specification (*e.g.*, original Claim 1). Claim 14 depends from Claim 13. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,980,018 to Mu et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Mu"). Claims 4 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Mu. Claims 4 and

8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Mu in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,626,775 to Roberts et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Roberts").

Claims 1-12 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Accordingly, each of the aforementioned rejections has been obviated.

### **CONCLUSION**

Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and therefore request favorable consideration. If any issues remain which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' counsel, Christopher W. Raimund at (202) 326-0345.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD

Jun 30, 2005  
Date



901 Fifteenth Street, N.W.  
Suite 850  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 326-0300  
Facsimile: (202) 326-0778

Steven B. Kelber  
Registration No. 30,073  
Attorney of Record

Christopher W. Raimund  
Registration No. 47,258